

Consent Management Solution RFP

CRISP DC Responses to Vendor Questions

November 5, 2020

- 1) Question: As this may ultimately come down to a “build or buy” decision, what provisions and/or mechanisms are available to provide for the segmentation and/or the protection of ownership rights for intellectual property disclosed via the RFP response?**

Answer: CRISP will not disclose intellectual property from a vendor RFP response if we decided to build a consent management solution internally. Vendors are encouraged to submit proposals in a manner that protects IP.

- 2) Question: What format do you anticipate using for the acceptance of consents registered and third-party applications including EMRs or patient registration/billing systems? We are trying to understand what level of integration is required, for example, an image or another type of data.**

Answer: CRISP will try to use and potentially enforce some level of standards and we would rely on the vendor to offer guidance. Those requirements were requested by some SUD provider sites and other stakeholders. CRISP prefers a system that captures discrete data and not images or PDFs. The consent system must manage personalization (i.e., ability to select consent timeframe, EMR or other systems capturing discrete clinical data). We do not think anyone is capturing consent via FHIR with their EMR, but there may be a way to translate a standard that is captured into FHIR.

- 3) Question: Regarding FHIR compliance, does CRISP currently have FHIR compliant databases and systems?**

Answer: CRISP has FHIR compliant resources for some of our data structures including clinical elements like labs, diagnostic reports, and observations. We are currently moving from a FHIR version 2 to a FHIR version 4 model.